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Abstract
We examined the effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure—defined as perceived visual and locomotive permeability—on aesthetic judgments and approach-avoidance decisions in architectural design. Furthermore, to gain traction on the mechanisms driving the observed effects, we employed functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to explore their neural correlates. Rooms with higher ceilings were more likely to be judged as beautiful, and activated structures involved in visuospatial exploration and attention in the dorsal stream. Open rooms were more likely to be judged as beautiful, and activated structures underlying perceived visual motion. Additionally, enclosed rooms were more likely to elicit exit decisions and activated the anterior midcingulate cortex (aMCC)—the region within the cingulate gyrus with direct projections from the amygdala. This suggests that a reduction in perceived visual and locomotive permeability characteristic of enclosed spaces might elicit an emotional reaction that accompanies exit decisions.

1. Introduction

In this study we examined the effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure on aesthetic judgments and approach-avoidance decisions in architectural design. According to the US National Building Code, the standard ceiling height is eight feet or 2.44 m (Rybczynski, 2009). Nevertheless, it appears that people tend to prefer ceilings that are about two feet (.61 m) higher than this standard. For example, in a series of experiments Baird, Cassidy, and Kurr (1978) demonstrated a single-peak preference function relating ceiling height to preference for rooms—increasing monotonically from 6 feet (1.83 m) to a peak at 10 feet (3.04 m), and decreasing thereafter. Interestingly, the same general function emerged regardless of whether the participants were examining model rooms, or when they stood under adjustable ceilings for a more realistic experience of ceiling height. However, the preferred height of a ceiling also varied as a function of the imagined activity of the occupant within the room. Specifically, participants preferred higher ceilings for the activity of listening than reading, dancing, dining and talking. Nevertheless, despite substantial individual differences in preference and contextual effects, the main effect of ceiling height was robust.

Perhaps even stronger evidence for the desirability of higher ceilings is provided by the willingness of buyers to purchase real
estate with higher ceilings, despite higher costs involved in its manufacture and maintenance (e.g., heating). For example, although 9-foot ceilings have become increasingly common, the extra cost of adding this single foot to the height of a ceiling is estimated to be about $20,000 for a 4000-square-foot house in the US (Handley, 2011). Indeed, the data from the marketplace show that some people prefer and are willing to pay more for living spaces with taller than standard ceilings, despite increased cost.

Perhaps not surprisingly, attention to ceiling height is not a new phenomenon in architectural design. Considered by many to be the most influential person in the history of architecture, the renaissance architect Palladio (1570/1965) devoted significant portions of his major treatise entitled “I quattro libri dell’architettura” (The four books of architecture) to rules governing ceiling height. Influenced by the notion of harmony, he listed a series of mathematical proportions and ratios that represented ideal relations among the width, length, and height of rooms. In essence, within Palladio’s framework, preference for architectural spaces is a function of perceived proportion.

Aside from ceiling height, there is also reason to believe that another factor, perceived enclosure, might have an impact on beauty judgments of spaces. Perceived enclosure can be viewed as the perceived degree of movement through space (see Stamps, 2005, 2010; Stamps & Krishnan, 2004). Stamps (2005) argued that the degree of movement through space is more accurately described as permeability, which in turn has visual and locomotive variants. For the purpose of the present study we defined perceived enclosure as the degree of perceived visual and locomotive permeability. Stamps (2005, 2010) further argued that range of vision has a direct bearing on survival, by enabling the organism to see, hide, and identify threats. Within this evolutionary framework, preference for architectural spaces is a function of the extent to which it facilitates permeability.

Stamps’ (2005, 2010) ideas were influenced by Appleton’s (1975) habitat and prospect-refuge theories, initially postulated in relation to landscapes but since extended to the built environment. According to habitat theory, the judgment of an environment as aesthetically pleasing is a function of its inclusion of features (e.g., shapes, colors, spatial arrangements) indicating its favourability to survival, regardless of whether or not those features are accurate reflectors of greater survivability. In turn, he defined prospect as “unimpeded opportunity to see” and refuge as “an opportunity to hide” (p. 66), and argued that they constitute intermediate steps in aesthetic appreciation of environments because they affect our perceptions of survivability:

Habitat theory postulates that aesthetic pleasure in landscape derives from the observer experiencing an environment favourable to the satisfaction of his biological needs. Prospect-refuge theory postulates that, because the ability to see without being seen is an intermediate step in the satisfaction of many of those needs, the capacity of an environment to ensure the achievement of this becomes a more immediate source of aesthetic satisfaction.

Appleton, 1975, p. 66.

For our purposes here, two points are worth emphasizing. First, to Appleton (1975) the ability to ‘see without being seen’ can lead to aesthetic pleasure. Because prospect (i.e., seeing) and refuge (i.e., not being seen) are by definition the two components of this ability, their realization should contribute positively to aesthetic pleasure. This idea is also captured by Stamps’ (2005, 2010) concept of permeability, such that greater permeability should lead to greater aesthetic pleasure for a given environment. Second, this is not to say that the contributions of prospect and refuge to aesthetic pleasure are context invariant. Indeed, there are good reasons to believe that context is an important factor in all manner of judgment and decision making (Goldstein & Weber, 1997), including aesthetics (Bierieb, Nadal, Leder, & Rosenberg, 2014). One would therefore expect it to influence the extent to which prospect and refuge contribute to aesthetic pleasure within built environments as well.

To gain further insight into whether ceiling height and perceived enclosure are important variables in architectural design, we conducted an informal survey of 25 interior designers (23 females) during the annual general meeting of the Ontario Interior Designers held in Toronto, ON (March, 2013). The average age of the sample was 50 years (SD = 8.06), and all held university or postgraduate degrees. We asked this sample to rate the extent to which ceiling height and openness influence their own design process (0 = not at all, 5 = extremely). Their average ratings suggested that both ceiling height ($M = 3.56, SD = .92$; $t(24) = 5.78, p < .001, d = .838$) and openness ($M = 3.42, SD = .93$; $t(23) = 4.84, p < .001, d = .97$) influence the design process considerably (i.e., compared to the midpoint = 2.5). This suggests that interior designers are aware of the importance of these two factors in the design process.

1. Beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions

Our focus thus far has been on the effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure on aesthetic assessment of spaces. However, we were also interested in examining the effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure on decisions to enter or exit those spaces (i.e., approach-avoidance decisions). We were interested in this issue because there are previous data to suggest that people are more likely to opt to be in spaces that they also find beautiful. For example, Ritterfeld and Cupchik (1996) demonstrated that the beauty ratings assigned to photographs of interior spaces are the strongest determinants of the willingness to live in those spaces. Extended to the present study, one would expect that participants would be more likely to opt to approach spaces that they also find more beautiful, because factors that affect beauty judgments (beautiful vs. not beautiful) will affect approach-avoidance decisions (enter vs. exit) in similar ways. However, in the neuroscience of reward there is a well-established distinction in the brain systems responsible for liking versus wanting (Berridge, 1995). This neurological distinction would seem to suggest that the neural basis for judging a given space as beautiful (i.e., liking) might not necessarily correspond with the neural basis for a decision to approach it (i.e., wanting), and that this might manifest itself in different and potentially contradictory responses (e.g., opting not to approach a space that one finds beautiful). Thus, although previous research has shown that one might expect to see a close relation between beauty judgment and approach-avoidance in the context of architectural design (Ritterfeld & Cupchik, 1996), there is also reason to believe that a neural dissociation between liking and wanting (Berridge, 1995) might lead to a differentiation of how ceiling height and perceived enclosure affect beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions (see Vartanian et al., 2013).

1.2. Hypotheses

Based on the theoretical and empirical background as well as our own survey of interior designers, the present study was designed

---

1. Similar arguments grounding preferences for places in evolutionary adaptations have been made elsewhere (e.g., Hildebrand, 1999; Kaplan, 1987, 1992; Kellert & Wilson, 1993; Nasar, 1988; Sagan & Druyan, 1992).

2. The term ‘see without being seen’ was actually coined by Konrad Lorenz but used by Appleton in relation to habitat and prospect-refuge theories.
with the aim of assessing the effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure on aesthetic judgments and approach-avoidance decisions in the context of architectural interiors. We had four hypotheses regarding the behavioral effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure. First, we hypothesized that people prefer rooms with high ceilings. Thus, participants would be more likely to judge rooms with higher ceilings as beautiful than rooms with lower ceilings. Confirmation of this hypothesis would constitute a straightforward replication of Baird et al.’s (1978) claim. Second, we hypothesized that people find open rooms beautiful. This hypothesis is based on the idea that open rooms afford a greater degree of perceived visual and locomotive permeability (Stamps, 2005, 2010). Third, we hypothesized that rooms with higher ceilings are more approachable than rooms with lower ceilings. Fourth, we hypothesized that people are more likely to enter open rooms than enclosed rooms. Our third and fourth hypotheses were motivated by the idea that there might exist a functional association between aesthetics and behavior such that factors that affect beauty judgments (beautiful vs. not beautiful) will affect approach-avoidance decisions (enter vs. exit) in similar ways. In this sense, we are likely to enter rooms that we also find beautiful.

Additionally, we aimed to explore the mechanisms underlying the effect of perceived enclosure in the context of neuroimaging correlates by using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). The advantage of collecting fMRI data is that they enable one to localize regions of the brain where brain activity is modulated in response to manipulations of independent variables—in this case ceiling height and perceived enclosure. In turn, the quality of the inferences one draws from the observation of these activations is proportional to the functional specificity associated with the region under consideration (see Bub, 2000; Poldrack, 2006). Functional specificity (i.e., selectivity) refers to the ability to infer a specific function (e.g., memory) based on the activation of a specific brain region or structure (Vartanian & Mandel, 2011). Ideally, manipulations of the independent variable result in activations in brain regions with high degrees of functional specificity. In such cases, brain activations can aid in inferring mental processes and/or neural mechanisms accompanying the observed behavioral effects.

Our hypotheses about the brain regions underlying the effect of ceiling height were based on the idea that the observation of proportion and ratio in architectural design likely necessitates visuospatial exploration, navigation, and attention. Thus, we reasoned that variations in ceiling height modulates brain networks for visuospatial processing, localized in medial temporal lobe (MTL) structures (Aguiro, Detre, Alsop, & D’Esposito, 1996; Burgess, 2008; Spiers et al., 2001), or the frontal and parietal cortices in the dorsal stream which are connected by axonal tracts that run along the dorsolateral regions of the brain (Mishkin, Ungerleider, & Macko, 1983; Ungerleider & Mishkin, 1982; see also Kravitz, Saleem, Baker, & Mishkin, 2011). In other words, we reasoned that rooms with higher ceilings might be preferred because they facilitate greater levels of visuospatial exploration and attention, in the process activating parts of the MTL and/or the dorsal stream. In addition, rooms with higher ceilings might also be preferred by affecting the feelings of the viewers, related to changes in the activity of brain regions that underlie the experience of affect, emotion, pleasure and reward (see Barrett, Mesquita, Ochsner, & Gross, 2007; Barrett & Wager, 2006; Berridge & Kringelbach, 2009; Chatterjee & Vartanian, 2014; Kravitz et al., 2011).

Where in the brain would one expect to observe responsiveness as a function of variation in perceived enclosure? There are a number of different candidate structures. For example, the hippocampal place area (PPA) responds selectively to places (i.e., spatial enclosures) (Epstein & Kanwisher, 1998). Not only is the PPA involved in scene perception, but its activity while viewing scenes is modulated by level of pleasure (Biederman & Vessel, 2006; Yue, Vessel, & Biederman, 2007). This suggests that the PPA might be sensitive to variation in perceived enclosure in the context of beauty judgment of spaces. Second, previous research has shown that the degree of physical openness depicted in scenes is strongly correlated with ratings of beauty, pleasure, and interestingness (Franz, von der Heyde, & Bülthoff, 2005; see also Kaplan, Kaplan, & Ryan, 1998), suggesting that regions of the brain that underlie the experience of affect, emotion, pleasure and reward might be responsive to openness—the reverse of perceived enclosure (see Barrett et al., 2007; Barrett & Wager, 2006; Berridge & Kringelbach, 2009; Chatterjee & Vartanian, 2014; Kringelbach, 2005). Third, it is also possible that much like high ceilings, open spaces facilitate visuospatial exploration, in the process activating parietal and frontal regions in the dorsal stream (Mishkin, Ungerleider, & Milner, 1983; see also Kravitz et al., 2011). In fact, to the extent that this exploration is coupled with an intention to approach (or avoid) a space, it would also be accompanied by activation in brain regions implicated in motor imagery and/or planning of voluntary motor movement (Crammond, 1997; Decety, 1996; Dieber et al., 1998; Grush, 2004; Hanakawa, Dimyan, & Hallett, 2008).

We tested our hypotheses by reanalyzing data from a previously published fMRI dataset (Vartanian et al., 2013), conducted originally to investigate the impact of contour (curvilinear vs. rectilinear) on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions. Specifically, in the beauty judgment condition our participants were instructed to indicate whether the space they were exposed to was “beautiful” or “not beautiful” by pressing one of two buttons, whereas in the approach-avoidance condition they were instructed to opt to “enter” or “exit” the space by pressing one of two buttons. Our focus on contour in the original analyses was motivated by a strong body of empirical evidence extending back to the 1920s showing that people prefer curvilinear contour to rectilinear contour in design (Silvia & Barona, 2009). Indeed, we replicated and extended this effect to architectural design in our study, showing that people are more likely to judge curvilinear than rectilinear spaces as beautiful. However, curvilinear spaces were no more likely to elicit approach decisions than rectilinear spaces. In conjunction with a complementary neural dissociation observed between these two processes, we concluded that beauty judgment and approach-avoidance decisions might be underwritten by different sets of considerations and computations.

However, within each level of contour our stimuli were also balanced in terms of ceiling height and perceived enclosure (Fig. 1). For the present report, we shifted the focus from contour to ceiling height and perceived enclosure, enabling us to parse the data anew in order to test the aforementioned four hypotheses, as well as isolating their neural correlates to explore the possible contributions of various mechanisms and processes to the observed effects. It is important to note that unlike the rich empirical and theoretical bases that link curvilinear contour to aesthetic preference (Silvia & Barona, 2009), the evidential base linking ceiling height and perceived enclosure to preference is relatively sparse. As such, our examination of the impact of these two variables on brain and behavior must be considered exploratory rather than confirmatory. Nevertheless, we hope that in conjunction with evidence from

3 fMRI is not a direct measure of brain activity, but rather a measure of the hemodynamic response (i.e., change in blood flow) in relation to neural activity in the brain. When active, neurons increase their consumption of oxygen. The local response to increased consumption of oxygen is increased blood flow to the region, accompanied by changes in local blood volume and flow. Measuring the variations in the local concentrations of oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin molecules accompanying the changes in local blood volume and flow generate a proxy measure for brain activity.
other related studies (e.g., Fich et al., 2014), the data generated in the present study will contribute to the development and refinement of theoretical models of how these two factors influence behavior and related physiological function (see Churchland & Sejnowski, 1992).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

We recruited 18 (12 females, 6 males) neurologically healthy participants ($M = 23.39$ years, $SD = 4.49$) with normal or corrected-to-normal vision. All participants were right handed, as determined by a standard questionnaire ($M = 74.72$, $SD = 19.29$) (Oldfield, 1971).

2.2. Materials

The stimuli for this study consisted of 200 photographs of architectural spaces (Fig. 1). Half of the photographs were used in the beauty judgment run and the other half for the approach-avoidance run. The stimuli were culled from larger architectural image databases available to LBF at the Department of Architecture, Design, and Media Technology in University of Aalborg and to NR at The Royal Danish Academy of Fine Arts, Schools of Architecture, Design and Conservation, School of Architecture. Half of the spaces had high ceilings and the other half had low ceilings. Similarly, half of the spaces were enclosed and the other half open. This resulted in the following four conditions ($n = 50$ in each condition): open high ceiling, open low ceiling, enclosed high ceiling, and enclosed low ceiling. Across the entire stimulus set half of the rooms were curvilinear and the other half rectilinear; the results for that manipulation were discussed in an earlier publication (Vartanian et al., 2013). LBF and NR reached 100% inter-rater consensus for the inclusion of each image in the final set of 200 images. All images were standardized in terms of size and resolution. Importantly, the stimuli were not controlled in terms of other variables such as light, color, etc. Given the exploratory nature of our study, this was done in large measure to increase the ecological validity of our design. To obtain the stimulus set please contact OV.

2.3. Procedures

Our study consisted of presenting participants in the MR scanner with photographs of interior spaces that varied in ceiling height and perceived enclosure (Fig. 1). This study was presented in two runs—administered in counterbalanced order across participants. In the beauty judgment run participants were instructed to respond “beautiful” or “not beautiful” upon viewing each stimulus. In the approach-avoidance run participants were instructed to respond “enter” or “exit” upon viewing each stimulus, to indicate whether this was a space they would like to enter or leave.

In the course of structural MRI acquisition participants were familiarized with the task via exposure to trials involving beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions. The task was presented using E-Prime. Each trial within the runs had identical structure: it began with a fixation point “X” presented for 1000 ms, followed by a stimulus presented for 3000 ms (during which a response was collected), followed by variable inter-trial interval (ITI). The average duration of ITI across all trials was 4000 ms (selected randomly without replacement from a finite bin varying among 3000, 4000, 6000, and 7000 ms).

2.4. fMRI acquisition

A 3-T MR scanner with an 8-channel head coil (Signa Excite HD, 16.0 software, General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) was used to acquire T1 anatomical volume images ($1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.0$ mm voxels). For functional imaging, T2*-weighted gradient echo spiral-in/out acquisitions were used to produce 35 contiguous 4 mm thick axial images.

Fig. 1. Examples of stimuli used in the study. Notes. Within each of the four conditions we controlled for the number of curvilinear (top) and rectilinear (bottom) spaces (see Method section).
slices (repetition time [TR] = 2000 ms; echo time [TE] = 21.4 ms; flip angle [FA] = 90°; field of view [FOV] = 260 mm; 64 × 64 matrix; voxel dimensions = 4 × 4 × 4.0 mm), positioned to cover the whole brain. The first ten volumes were discarded to allow for T1 equilibration effects. The number of volumes acquired was 430 (+10 dummies).

2.5. fMRI analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8). Head movement was less than 2 mm in all cases. We implemented slice timing to correct for temporal differences between slices within the same volume, using the first slice within each volume as the reference slice. All functional volumes were spatially realigned to the first volume of the first run. A mean image created from realigned volumes was spatially normalized to the MNI EPI brain template using nonlinear basis functions. The derived spatial transformation was applied to the realigned T2* volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Time series across volumes, and spatially smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel.

Condition effects at each voxel were estimated according to the general linear model (GLM) and regionally specific effects compared using linear contrasts. The BOLD signal was modeled as a box-car, convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function. Each contrast produced a statistical parametric map consisting of voxels where the T-statistic was significant at p < .001. We adopted a combination of voxel-level and cluster-size correction to control against false positives. Specifically, using a random-effects analysis, we reported activations that survived corrected for multiple comparisons. Previous analyses have demonstrated that this combination adequately controls against false positives for both 2D and 3D volumes (Forman et al., 1995; Lieberman & Cunningham; 2009).

Testing our focal hypotheses consisted of comparing rooms with high ceilings to rooms with low ceilings, and open rooms to enclosed rooms—separately for beauty judgment and approach-avoidance runs. To ensure that (a) both analyses were run based on the same design matrix and (b) explicitly included the variable controlled for in the present study (i.e., contour), within each run we created 16 regressors corresponding to a crossing of 4 variables: contour (rectilinear, curvilinear) × ceiling height (high, low) × openness (open, enclosed) × response (enter/exit or beautiful/not beautiful). Our two focal analyses were conducted by assigning weights of “1” or “–1” to the relevant regressors, involving one-sample t-tests. Although incorporated into the design, motor response and ITI were modeled out of the analyses by assigning null weights to their respective regressors.

3. Results

3.1. Behavioral

We analyzed the effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure, separately on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions. We tested non-directional hypotheses, except when testing for the effect of ceiling height on beauty judgments because of prior data (see Baird et al., 1978). A Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test demonstrated that ceiling height had a significant effect on beauty judgments, Z = −1.76, p = .039, r(Z/√N) = −.41. Specifically, participants were more likely to judge spaces as beautiful if they had high than low ceilings (Fig. 2). In contrast, rooms with higher ceilings were no more likely to be entered than rooms with lower ceilings, Z = −1.22, p = .224, r = .29 (Fig. 2). In turn, perceived enclosure affected both beauty judgments (Z = −3.27, p = .001, r = .77) and approach-avoidance decisions (Z = −3.51, p = .001, r = .83) (Fig. 3). Specifically, participants were more likely to judge spaces as beautiful if they were open than enclosed, and more likely to opt to exit them if they were enclosed than open.

3.2. Neural

In the beauty judgment run, the contrast of high ceilings—low ceilings revealed significant activation in left precuneus (BA 19) (T = 4.08, d(T/√N) = .96, x = −36, y = −78, z = 42, k = 17) and left middle frontal gyrus (BA 6) (T = 4.02, d = .95, x = −32, y = 18, z = 60, k = 15) (Fig. 4). In turn, the open—enclosed contrast revealed significant activation in left middle temporal gyrus (BA 21) (T = 4.17, d = .98, x = −52, y = −2, z = −20, k = 21) and right superior temporal gyrus (BA 22) (T = 4.11, d = .97, x = 58, y = −14, z = −4, k = 11) (Fig. 5). In the approach-avoidance run the contrast of high ceilings—low ceilings did not reveal any significant activation, whereas the enclosed—open contrast revealed significantly greater activation in a large cluster spanning both hemispheres in the anterior midcingulate cortex (aMCC) region of the cingulate gyrus (BA 24) (T = 6.63, d = 1.56, x = −10, y = 30, z = 26, k = 774) (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

The present study was conducted to explore the effects of ceiling height and perceived enclosure on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions in the context of architecture. As predicted, participants were more likely to judge as beautiful spaces with higher than lower ceilings. In contrast, ceiling height had no effect on approach-avoidance decisions. These findings confirm previous results on the impact of ceiling height on preference for rooms (Baird et al., 1978), and extend them by demonstrating that the effect of ceiling height on beauty judgments is dissociable from its effect on approach-avoidance decisions. However, we cannot exclude that familiarity might have had an effect on

![Fig. 2](image-url) Effect of ceiling height on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions. Notes: The Y-axis represents the sum of responses.
beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions, given that our participants were likely to have had greater levels of prior exposure to standard ceiling heights in the range of eight feet or 2.44 m (Rybczynski, 2009). This possibility can be tested more directly in future studies.

Interestingly, we found a similar dissociation regarding the effect of contour on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions: whereas participants were more likely to judge curvilinear spaces as beautiful, they were no more likely to decide to enter them compared to rectilinear spaces (Vartanian et al., 2013). These results suggest that the machinery involved in aesthetic judgment might be under the influence of different factors than the machinery involved in approach-avoidance decisions, at least insofar as architectural spaces are concerned.

At the neural level, we had hypothesized that because the observation of proportion and ratio in architectural design necessitates visuospatial exploration and attention, we might expect to see greater activation in the neural systems that underlie visuospatial processing in relation to rooms with higher ceilings. Indeed, we observed activation in the left precuneus and the left middle frontal gyrus—two structures with well-established roles in visuospatial processing (for reviews see Cavanna & Trimble, 2006; Kravitz et al., 2011). In fact, there is recent evidence demonstrating that cortical thickness in the left precuneus exhibits neuroplasticity as a function of training on a virtual spatial navigation task in young adults (Wenger et al., 2012), further highlighting the role of this region in visuospatial processing. The present activations are consistent with the idea that rooms with higher ceilings might be preferred over rooms with lower ceilings because they facilitate greater levels of visuospatial exploration, attention, and navigation. However, contrary to our prediction, in the beauty judgment run we did not observe activation in relation to higher ceilings in brain regions that underlie affect, emotion, pleasure and reward. This suggests that the aesthetic preference for high ceilings is likely not driven by this constellation of inter-related processes.

Interestingly, the activations observed in the precuneus and middle frontal gyrus were lateralized to the left hemisphere. This lateralization of function might be explained in terms of the type of spatial relations under consideration. Specifically, Kosslyn et al. (1989) initially suggested a distinction between abstract categorical vs. specific coordinate spatial relations. Consider a case where you must decide whether the space between two walls in a room is sufficient for the placement of a window with specific dimensions. To make this determination accurately you need specific “coordinate representation ... in which locations of objects or parts are specified relatively precisely in terms of metric units” (Kosslyn et al., 1989, p. 724). In other words, precise measurement underlies coordinate relations. In contrast, deciding whether a window is situated to the left of the centre of a wall is unaffected by its specific distance (e.g., 10 cm or 20 cm) from the midpoint. Such “categorical representations ... capture general properties of the spatial structure without making commitments to the specific topographic properties” (Kosslyn et al., 1989, p. 723). Using a complementary set of fMRI and lesions studies, Amorapanth, Widick, and Chatterjee (2009) demonstrated that the left hemisphere is relatively biased toward processing categorical spatial relations whereas the right hemisphere is relatively biased toward processing coordinate spatial relations. This suggest that in the context of the present study the visuospatial processing of rooms with higher ceilings might have involved a greater focus on general spatial relations rather than specific topographic features.

The possibility that greater visuospatial exploration undertaken by left precuneus and left middle frontal gyrus is related to beauty judgments comes also from data collected following the completion of fMRI scanning, which involved asking participants to view all the stimuli viewed in the scanner once again, rating each stimulus on beauty (using a 5-point scale with anchors very ugly and very beautiful) and on pleasantness (also using a 5-point scale with anchors very unpleasant and very pleasant). As previously reported (Vartanian et al., 2013), a parametric analysis involving 1st order polynomial expansions demonstrated that activation in left
precuneus and left middle frontal gyrus exhibited a linear relationship with beauty ratings, and that activation in left precuneus also exhibited a linear relationship with pleasantness—the valence dimension of the affect circumplex. In combination, these results are consistent with the hypothesis that activation in left precuneus observed in relation to higher ceilings is related to the role of this region in beauty judgment of spaces.

In contrast to ceiling height, perceived enclosure affected both beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions: participants were more likely to judge as beautiful open than enclosed spaces, and also more likely to decide to avoid enclosed than open spaces. Interestingly, Stamps (2005) has demonstrated that impressions of enclosure are more strongly influenced by visual permeability than by locomotive permeability, whereas impressions of safety are more strongly influenced by locomotive permeability than by visual permeability. Although we did not distinguish between visual and locomotive permeability in our stimuli, the behavioral results suggest that participants might have attended to different aspects of perceived enclosure (i.e., visual or locomotive) when making choices in the beauty and approach-avoidance conditions. Specifically, impressions of visual and locomotive permeability might have had a greater impact on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions, respectively. Future studies on the effect of perceived enclosure on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions would benefit by distinguishing between stimuli that signal different types of permeability.

Our results showed that variations in perceived enclosure were accompanied by differences in brain activity. Specifically, during beauty judgments the open—enclosed contrast revealed significant activation in left middle temporal gyrus (BA 21) and right superior temporal gyrus (BA 22) (Fig. 5). Although neuroimaging studies have historically provided some evidence for the involvement of the temporal lobes in visuospatial attention (e.g., Nobre et al., 1997), their specific role in the process remains unclear. Shapiro, Hillstrom, and Husain (2002) provided some insight into this by demonstrating that the region that lies between the ventral and dorsal streams—consisting of the superior temporal gyrus and the inferior parietal lobe—contributes to the temporal dynamics of visual processing. Specifically, in the context of the attentional blink paradigm, which is itself a non-spatial task, lesions to this region led to more prolonged deployment of visuotemporal attention compared to lesions exclusively to the superior parietal lobe—which forms part of the dorsal stream. This suggests that the temporal dynamics of visual processing might be influenced differently by open vs. enclosed spaces, although additional behavioral studies including eye-tracking methodologies are required to test this hypothesis directly.

Further insight into the possible role of the lateral temporal lobes in processing open spaces is provided by a recent meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies which identified the left lateral temporal cortex in the conceptual processing of actions (Watson, Cardillo, Ianni, & Chatterjee, 2013). This finding suggests a role for this region in processing abstract representations derived from visual motion information. To the extent that open spaces, compared to enclosed spaces, embody perceived notions of visual and locomotive permeability, the involvement of left middle temporal gyrus (BA 21) might be related to a greater representation of perceived visual motion in open rooms. Importantly, here too we did not observe activation in relation to higher ceilings in brain regions that underlie affect, emotion, pleasure and reward, suggesting that aesthetic preference for open spaces is likely not driven by this constellation of inter-related processes.

Interestingly, enclosed rooms were more likely to elicit avoidance decisions, and they were associated with robust activation in the cingulate gyrus in the approach-avoidance run. Although this part of the cingulate gyrus has been linked to a large host of cognitive and emotional processes, Vogt’s (2005) analysis of 23 neuroimaging studies showing peak activations within the cingulate gyrus demonstrated that the specific region activated in the present study is associated with processing fear. Not only does aMCC receive direct input from the amygdala (Vogt & Pandya, 1987)—a key region for representation of fear in the brain (Whalen et al., 1998)—but no other cingulate region receives similar high and direct projections from the amygdala. This observation raises the possibility that part of the reason for opting to exit enclosed spaces might be related to the experience of fear, consistent with Appleton’s (1975) and Stamps’ (2005, 2010) hypothesis that range of vision has a bearing on survival, and that restrictions therein might lead to the experience of negative emotions.

In support of this interpretation, Fich et al. (2014) recently demonstrated that participants exhibit greater reactivity to stress

**Fig. 5.** Open spaces activated the superior and middle temporal gyri in beauty judgments. Notes. (a) Superior temporal gyrus; (b) middle temporal gyrus. SPM rendered into standard stereotastic space and superimposed on to sagittal MRI in standard space. Bar represents magnitude of T-score.

**Fig. 6.** Enclosed spaces activated the anterior midcingulate cortex (aMCC) region of the cingulate gyrus (BA 24) in approach-avoidance decisions. Notes. SPM rendered into standard stereotastic space and superimposed on to coronal MRI in standard space. Bar represents magnitude of T-score.
when placed in an enclosed rather than an open room. Specifically, the researchers used a virtual reality version of the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) to induce stress. The standard TSST protocol induces stress by having the participant perform a series of stressful tasks (e.g., giving a presentation) in front of a committee. The advantage of using a virtual reality version of TSST consisted of the ability to manipulate physical features of the room in systematic ways. The manipulation involved assessing participants either under the “open” room condition characterized by large openings that offered a potential for escape, or a “closed” room that did not offer this potential. In addition, the researchers measured saliva and heart rate variability—two robust measures of the human physiological stress response. The results showed that compared to participants in the open condition, participants in the enclosed condition exhibited greater cortisol reactivity to the stress condition and continued to show greater levels of cortisol at recovery. As such, this experiment demonstrated that compared to open spaces, enclosed spaces can increase one’s vulnerability to stress, possibly by not offering the potential for escape.

4.1. Limitations

The following limitations should be taken into consideration while evaluating the results of the present study. First, our stimuli were not controlled in terms of various factors (e.g., light, color, etc.). Given the exploratory nature of our study, this was done in large measure to increase the ecological validity of our design. However, future studies should seek to generate stimuli that control for these factors. Second, we did not manipulate the context under which beauty judgments and approach-avoidance data were collected. Given the well-established effect of context on judgment and decision making (Bieber et al., 2014; Goldstein & Weber, 1997), caution should be exercised in generalizing our findings to other contexts. Third, the fact that our data were collected in the fMRI scanner might have impacted our results. Specifically, it is possible that opting to participate in an experiment that takes place within a confined space might have inadvertently resulted in the recruitment of participants that experience an attenuated negative response to perceptually enclosed environments. Fourth, we could not exclude the possibility that familiarity might have had an effect on beauty judgments and approach-avoidance decisions with respect to ceiling height, given that our participants were likely to have had greater levels of prior exposure to standard ceiling heights in the range of eight feet or 2.44 m (Rybczynski, 2009). This possibility can be tested more directly in future studies. Fifth, given the exploratory nature of our study, follow-up work could ideally focus on testing more precise hypotheses following a power analysis and did enclosed spaces elicit greater avoidance decisions, they also activated the aMCC—a region receiving direct input from the amygdala and involved in fear processing. This observation raises the possibility that a reduction in perceived visual and locomotive permeability might elicit a negative emotional reaction and a corresponding decision to exit spaces.
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